McKinsey & Company

COVID-19: Briefing materials

Global health and crisis response

Updated: April 3, 2020

Current as of April 3, 2020


COVID-19 is, first and foremost, a global humanitarian challenge.

Thousands of health professionals are heroically battling the virus, putting their own lives at risk. Governments and industry are working together to understand and address the challenge, support victims and their families and communities, and search for treatments and a vaccine.

Companies around the world need to act promptly.

This document is meant to help senior leaders understand the COVID-19 situation and how it may unfold, and take steps to protect their employees, customers, supply chains, and financial results.

Read more on McKinsey.com



Executive summary

The situation now

At the time of writing, COVID-19 cases have exceeded 900,000 and are increasing quickly around the world, with concerns that a 15% hospitalization rate could drive hospital system overload.

To reduce growth in cases, governments have moved to stricter social distancing, with "shelter in place" orders in many areas in the U.S., Europe, India, and other countries. This has driven rapid demand declines—among the deepest in recent times—that are being met by attempts at bailouts.

Some Asian countries, e.g. China, have kept incremental cases low, and are restarting economies. So far, there is little evidence of a resurgence in infections.

How the situation may evolve

There is a limited window for governments to drive adequate public-health responses and meet demand drawdowns with proportionate economic interventions. Without this, the possibility of a deeper effect on lives and livelihoods is more likely.

Scaled-up testing will soon clarify the extent and distribution of spread in the U.S., and Europe.

Learnings from other countries and recent innovations (strict social distancing rules, drive through testing, off-the-shelf drugs that can address mild cases, telemedicine enabled home care) could provide basis for a restart.

Actions that institutions can take



Resolve

Address the immediate challenges that COVID-19 represents to the workforce, customers and partners



Resilience

Address near-term cash management challenges, and broader resiliency issues



Return

Create a detailed plan to return the business back to scale quickly



Reimagination

Re-imagine the "next normal"—what a discontinuous shift looks like, and implications for how the institution should reinvent



Reform

Be clear about how the environment in your industry (regulations, role of government) could evolve



Establishing a Nerve Center can ensure speed without sacrificing decision quality across these five dimensions.

01

COVID-19: The situation now

02

Scenarios and path forward

03

Sector-specific impact

04

Planning and managing COVID-19 responses

The global spread is accelerating with more reports of local transmission

Latest as of April 3, 2020

Impact to date

>1 million

Reported confirmed cases

>52,000

Deaths

>200

Countries or territories with reported cases¹

>160

Countries or territories with evidence of local transmission²

49

Countries or territories with more than 1000 reported cases¹

~.2%

China share of new reported cases
March 27–April 2

~38%

US share of new reported cases
March 27–April 2


~52%

Europe share of new reported cases
March 27-April 2

6

New countries or territories with cases March 27–April 2

1.Previously counted only countries; now aligned with WHO reports to include territories and dependencies; excluding cruise ship 2.Previously noted as community transmission in McKinsey documents; now aligned with WHO definition




Source: World Health Organization, Johns Hopkins University, McKinsey analysis

Greatest share of recent cases comes from Europe, although U.S. cases are rapidly accelerating

Cumulative number of cases since March 1 – April 2

Thousands



^{1.} U.S. data from Johns Hopkins University CSSE (observed at 1700PT); all other data from WHO Situation Reports

Sources: WHO situation reports, Johns Hopkins University, press search

Asia

Incremental cases for China and South Korea are now ~100 per day with continued focus on disease surveillance and management of imported cases and localized transmission

.


Europe

Cases and deaths continue to increase across the region. Effects of national lockdowns are beginning to show effect in Italy (which recorded relatively flat incremental cases for the past 3-4 days); close monitoring should continue in upcoming days to understand the impact of distancing measures across European states

United States

Dramatic rise in cases in the past week have led the U.S. to exceed all other countries (including China) in total cases; incremental cases are now above 10,000 per day with highest concentrations in New York, New Jersey and California

Countries begin with similar trajectories but curves diverge based on measures taken



Select country detail

- Italy: After more than two weeks of national lockdown, incremental cases and deaths are flattening, indicating that public health are reducing transmission
- South Korea: Aggressive testing, contact tracing and surveillance, and mandatory quarantines are helping isolate virus clusters and dramatically slow spread of outbreak.
- United States: Cases and deaths are accelerating rapidly amidst containment responses that vary at state and local levels; U.S. now has the highest number of confirmed cases in the world

1. U.S. data from Johns Hopkins University CSSE; all other data from WHO Situation Reports


Sources: WHO situation reports; Johns Hopkins University, press search

Number of tested persons cumulative
 New reported cases per day

US: Exponential growth in the past two weeks has made the US the newest COVID-19 epicenter

Incremental cases and tests per day

Number of reported cases




Number of tested persons per day
 New reported cases per day

Italy: The number of new cases has trended slowly down over the last 10-14 days

Incremental cases and tests per day


Number of reported cases



South Korea: Rigorous investigation of outbreak clusters and rapidly scaled testing capabilities limited spread

Incremental cases per day and tests performed in South Korea Number of reported cases

Number of tests performed
 New reported cases per day





Total reported cases
 New reported cases per day

China: Rapid lockdowns were employed to manage outbreak before ramping up testing and response capabilities

Incremental cases per day and total reported cases in China


Number of reported cases per day





Feb 21 – Government eases traffic restrictions. in less-affected areas

Mar 1 – 28 provinces (more than 4/5ths of total) have encourages work to resume resumed normal inter-provincial passenger transport



Changes in new case tracking and reporting methodology yield spike in reported cases

Key considerations for disease progression



Growing evidence on the extent and role of asymptomatic cases and transmission

Although the range is large for estimated share of total cases (~20-50% for percentage of cases that are asymptomatic and ~10-60% for percentage of transmission due to asymptomatic cases)

There is significantly higher prevalence than confirmed cases, that could require continued strict social distancing for a while



Seasonality is unlikely to be a major contributor to stopping the spread of COVID-19

Prevailing outlook is that while COVID is likely to transmit more effectively in winter than summer, seasonality alone will not be enough to curtail transmission, requiring ongoing public health intervention even as weather gets better



Promising testing innovations may greatly expand disease surveillance capabilities

At home sampling and point-ofcare diagnostics can improve convenience and reduce processing times. Additionally, new antibody diagnostics under development may facilitate testing for prior exposure, which may allow significant segments of the population with immunity to resume activity



Economic restarts in Asia reflect possibility to restart limiting local transmission however need for renewed travel restrictions

experience from Hong Kong,
Singapore and Taiwan has
shown spike in cases following
return to in-person employment
and relaxation of travel
restrictions. While most cases
are categorized as imported,
Hong Kong especially has also
seen renewed growth in local
transmission. In response all
three economies have
reinstituted restrictions on travel
and in-person gatherings.

A: Emerging evidence indicates that asymptomatic cases could be drivers of transmission

Asymptomatic Symptomatic

Officials agree asymptomatic / pre-symptomatic cases are quite common



"The risk of catching COVID-19 from someone with no symptoms at all is very low. However, many people with COVID-19 experience only mild symptoms. This is particularly true at the early stages of the disease. It is therefore possible to catch COVID-19 from someone who has, for example, just a mild cough and does not feel ill."




"One of the [pieces of] information that we have pretty much confirmed now is that a significant number of individuals that are infected actually remain asymptomatic. That may be as many as 25%. That's important, because now you have individuals that may not have any symptoms that can contribute to transmission, and we have learned that in fact they do contribute to transmission.


Emerging evidence suggests that 20-50% of cases are asymptomatic / pre-symptomatic...




March 15: Announcement by the Iceland government based on 425 confirmed cases



March 12: EU CDC report based on ~13,000 lab confirmed cases in Italy




March 16: announcement by the South Korea CDC based on ~8,200 reported cases




Study of 643 infected cases on Diamond Princess cruise ship published March 12 in Eurosurveillance journal


And that asymptomatic / presymptomatic transmission may account for 10-60% of cases



Study based on 135 cases in Tianjin, China published March 8 on medRxiv (preprint server for health science)



Study based on 91 cases in Singapore published March 8 on medRxiv (preprint server for health science)



Study of 468 reported cases in China published March 19 via early release in Emerging Infectious Diseases journal

Public health response needs to account for possible widespread transmission asymptomatic individuals

- Countries / territories with limited confirmed cases and testing could still have significant transmission prevalent
- Resurgence could be driven by asymptomatic transmissions
- Could require continued strict social distancing for a while


Antibody blood tests are currently the best method for detecting asymptomatic cases

B: Seasonality is unlikely to be a major contributor to stopping the spread of COVID-19

Some early evidence indicates negative association between temperature/ humidity and COVID-19 transmission...




- High temperature and high relative humidity show association with reduced transmission of COVID-19 in regressions in China data1
- Majority of COVID-19 cases fall within temperate climates (95% of cases falling between 2.0-9.5 degrees Celsius)2





- Applying observed association between temperature/humidity and transmission rates, North American and European countries would see little impact of climate on transmission until late June3
- Historical pandemic influenza analogues do not exhibit same patterns as seasonal flu in terms of waning during summer months4



Ongoing disease containment and surveillance will continue to be critical in the near term until validation of reduced transmission



For the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2, we have reason to expect...it may transmit somewhat more efficiently in winter than summer, though we don't know the mechanism(s) responsible. The size of the change is expected to be modest, and not enough to stop transmission on its own"

Marc Lipsitch, PhD, Harvard School of Public Health

- Jingyuan Wang, Ke Tang, Kai Feng and Weifeng Lv 2020
- Qasim Bukhari and Yusuf Jameel 2020
- Marc Lipsitch 2020


C: Two major test-types detect either active or past infections

Types	Technology	Details	Availability
Molecular Detect genetic material of the virus	RT-PCR Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction	 Steps of amplifying and detection of viral genome identifies presence of virus Predominant testing method globally and most accurate Lab based tests typically takes ~3 days for results Near point of care takes <1 hour for results 	Growing availability varies by geography; Rapid PCR test received emergency FDA approval
	Isothermal amplification	 Rapid diagnostics with a single step identification of virus Typically near point of care (e.g., hospitals, clinics) taking 20min 	Recently approved tests
	CRISPR	CRISPR protein used after isothermal amplification to detect viral RNA presence	Experimental / proof of concept
Immunological / serologic tests Detect antigens or antibodies	Lateral flow tests CLIA: Chemiluminescence Immuno Assay ELISA: Enzyme linked immune sorbent assay	 Detects presence of antibodies and antigens based on binding to enzymes Negative test results don't imply lack of infection but just antibodies below detection limit; test most effective 8-10 days since infection started Lateral flow tests are shorter, point of care, self administered (like a pregnancy test), Typically <15 min CLIA / ELISA tests are primarily lab based / near point of care; typically takes <1 hour for results 	Starting to become available in Europe, only one in EUA in the US Over 30 tests under consideration


Improved speed and scale of live case confirmation will be critical to facilitating test and trace strategies for lower burden settings or for countries that have successfully contained initial outbreaks and are moving towards economic restart

Antibody tests with scaled distribution can enable recovered populations to resume normal activity

D: Asian jurisdictions have restarted economy, containing local transmission, though travel related transmissions persist



Singapore



Some Asian jurisdictions have been able to restart their economies with limited local transmission

Imported cases reflect a high fraction of the total, which may drive longer imposition of travel restrictions relative to other public health measures

01

COVID-19: The situation now

02

Scenarios and path forward

03

Sector-specific impact

04

Planning and managing COVID-19 responses

Imperatives

The Imperative of our Time

1

Safeguard our lives


- 1a. **Suppress the virus** as fast as possible
- 1b. Expand treatment and testing capacity
- 1c. Find "cures"; treatment, drugs, vaccines

2

Safeguard our livelihoods

- 2a. Support people and businesses affected by lockdowns
- 2b. Prepare to get back to work safely when the virus abates
- 2c. Prepare to scale the recovery away from a -8 to -13% trough

"Timeboxing" the Virus and the Economic Shock



Scenarios for the economic impact of the COVID-19 crisis

GDP impact of COVID-19 spread, public health response, and economic policies

Virus spread and public health response

Effectiveness of the public health response in controlling the spread and human impact of COVID-19

Rapid and effective control of virus spread

Strong public health response succeeds in controlling spread in each country within 2-3 months

Effective response, but (regional) virus resurgence

Public health response initially succeeds but measures are not sufficient to prevent viral resurgence so social distancing continues (regionally) for several months

Broad failure of public health interventions

Public health response fails to control the spread of the virus for an extended period of time (e.g., until vaccines are available)

B1

Virus contained. but sector damage: lower long-term trend growth



A1

A3

Virus resurgence: slow long-term arowth

Virus contained.

Virus Contained

slow recovery



A4

Virus contained: strong growth rebound

Virus resurgence:

Strong World Rebound

return to trend



B3

B2

Virus

growth

resurgence;

slow long-term

Pandemic escalation: prolonged downturn without economic recovery

B4

Pandemic escalation: slow progression towards economic recovery

B5

 $\bf A2$

arowth

Pandemic escalation: delayed but full economic recovery



Ineffective interventions

Self-reinforcing recession dynamics kick-in; widespread bankruptcies and credit defaults; potential banking crisis

Partially effective interventions

Policy responses partially offset economic damage; banking crisis is avoided; recovery levels muted

Highly effective interventions

Strong policy responses prevent structural damage; recovery to precrisis fundamentals and momentum


Knock-on effects and economic policy response

Speed and strength of recovery depends on whether policy moves can mitigate self-reinforcing recessionary dynamics (e.g., corporate defaults, credit crunch)

COVID-19 U.S. impact could exceed anything since the end of WWII

United States real GDP

%, total draw-down from previous peak





Epidemiological scenario

China and East Asian countries continue their current recovery and control the virus by early Q2 2020

Virus in Europe and the United States would be controlled effectively with between two to three months of economic shutdown; new case counts peak by end April and declines by June with stronger public health response and seasonality of virus



Economic impacts

China will undergo a sharp but brief slowdown and relatively quickly rebound to pre-crisis levels of activity. China's annual GDP growth for 2020 would end up roughly flat


In Europe and the US, monetary and fiscal policy would mitigate some of the economic damage with some delays in transmission, so that a strong rebound could begin after the virus was contained at the end of Q2 2020

Most countries are expected to experience sharp GDP declines in Q2, which would be unprecedented in the post WWII era

Scenario A3: Virus Contained

The virus continues to spread across the Middle East, Europe and the US until mid Q2, when virus seasonality combined with a stronger public health response drives case load reduction

Scenario A3: Virus Contained



Seasonally adjusted by Oxford Economics

	Real GDP drop 2019 Q4–2020 Q2 % change	2020 GDP growth % change	Time to return to pre-crisis Quarter
China	-3.5%	-0.5%	2020 Q4
USA	-8.0%	-2.4%	2020 Q4
World	-5.3%	-1.8%	2021 Q1
Eurozone	-10.1%	-4.7%	2021 Q2



Epidemiological scenario

China would need to clamp down on regional recurrences of the virus

The United States and Europe would fail to contain the virus within one quarter and be forced to implement some form of physical distancing and quarantines throughout the summer



Economic impacts

China would recover more slowly and would also be hurt by falling exports to the rest of the world. Its economy could face a potentially unprecedented contraction


The United States and Europe would face a GDP decline of 35 to 40 percent at an annualized rate in Q2, with major economies in Europe registering similar performance. Economic policy would fail to prevent a huge spike in unemployment and business closures, creating a far slower recovery even after the virus is contained

Most countries would take more than two years to recover to pre-virus levels of GDP

Scenario A1: Muted World Recovery

The virus spreads globally without a seasonal decline. Health systems are overwhelmed in many countries, especially the poorest, with large-scale human and economic impact

Scenario A1: Muted World Recovery



^{1.} Seasonally adjusted by Oxford Economics

	Real GDP drop 2019 Q4–2020 Q2 % change	2020 GDP growth % change	Time to return to pre-crisis Quarter
China	-4.2%	-2.3%	2021 Q2
USA	-11.1%	-8.7%	2024 Q2
World	-7.2%	-5. 7%	2022 Q4
Eurozone	-13.2%	-10.6%	2024 Q4

What business leaders should look for in coming weeks

There are three questions business leaders are asking, and a small number of indicators that can give clues

Depth of disruption

How deep are the demand reductions?



• Time to implement social distancing after community transmission confirmed

- Number of cases absolute (expect surge as testing expands)
- Geographic distribution of cases relative to economic contribution

• Cuts in spending on durable goods (e.g., cars, appliances)

- Extent of behavior shift (e.g., restaurant spend, gym activity)
- Extent of travel reduction (% flight cancellations, travel bans)

Length of disruption

How long could the disruption last?



- Rate of change of cases
- · Evidence of virus seasonality
- Test count per million people
- · % of cases treated at home
- % utilization of hospital beds (overstretched system recovers slower)
- · Availability of therapies
- Case fatality ratio vs. other countries
- Late payments/credit defaults
- Stock market & volatility indexes
- · Purchasing managers index
- Initial claims for unemployment

Shape of recovery

What shape could recovery take?



- Effective integration of public health measures with economic activity (e.g. rapid testing as pre-requisite for flying)
- Potential for different disease characteristics over time (e.g. mutation, reinfection)

Bounce-back in economic activity in countries that were exposed early in pandemic

 Early private and public sector actions during the pandemic to ensure economic restart

Epidemiological

01

COVID-19: The situation now

02

Scenarios and path forward

03


Sector-specific impact

04

Planning and managing COVID-19 responses

Market capitalization has declined across sectors, with significant variation to the extent of the decline


Weighted average year-to-date local currency total shareholder returns by industry in percent¹. Width of bars is starting market cap in \$



^{1.} Data set includes global top 3000 companies by market cap in 2019, excluding some subsidiaries, holding companies, companies with very small free float and companies that have delisted since

Even within sectors, there is significant variance between companies

Distribution of year-to-date total shareholder returns by industry percent¹



Data set includes global top 3000 companies by market cap in 2019, excluding some subsidiaries, holding companies, companies with very small free floa
and companies that have delisted since

Preliminary views of some of the hardest hit sectors

Based on the partially effective scenario



Commercial Aerospace



Air & Travel



Oil & Gas



Automotive



Insurance Carriers

Avg. stock price change¹

-46%

-44%

-42%

-29%

-29%

Industry specific examples

Preexisting industry conditions, challenges with airlines' balance sheet resilience, and high fixed costs cause near-term cash flow issues and long-term growth uncertainty.

It may take years to recover from production and supply chain stoppages, due to critical vendors located in areas impacted by the virus and liquidity challenges especially amongst Tier 3 suppliers.

Long order backlogs mitigate some concerns, especially on narrowbody aircraft, though widebody demand could be structurally impacted in the near-term Deep, immediate demand shock 5-6x greater than Sept 11; ~70-80% near-term demand erosion due to int'I travel bans & quarantines now prevalent in 130+ nations

N. Hemisphere summer travel peak season deeply impacted since pandemic fears coincide with peak booking period

Recovery pace faster for domestic travel (~2-3 quarters); slower for long-haul and int'l travel (6+ quarters)

Oil price decline driven by both short-term demand impact and supply overhang from OPEC+ decision to increase production

Oversupply expected to remain in the market even after demand recovery, and post 2020, unless OPEC+ decides to cut production Existing vulnerabilities (e.g., trade tensions, declining sales) amplified by acute decline in global demand; Mar. 26 Survey of US auto consumers indicates 70% of car buyers are deferring by ~6 mo. or no longer intending to purchase; >2M units lost in China by Feb.

Despite ongoing Chinese economic restart, there is continued supply chain and production disruption as majority of EU and US OEMs have temporarily closed plants and Hubei manufacturing remains at ~50% capacity

US insurers have been strongly affected, especially reinsurers and life & health insurers

Reduced interest rates and investment performance impacting returns – esp. for longer-tail lines

Disruptions expected in new business and underwriting processes due to dependence on paper applications and medical underwriting


^{1.} In last 30 days for selected sector indices

Commercial Aerospace

Gross orders Cancelled orders Wide body aircraft Narrow body aircraft Years: Wide body Years: Narrow body

Current Impact


The underlying drivers for commercial aircraft equipment and services is driven by airlines; Airlines have significantly reduced capacity and grounded fleets





- 1. Narrow body orders declined 21% and wide body orders declined 18% from 2017 – 19. Narrow body cancellations grew 4% and wide body cancellations grew 5% during the same period
- 2. Boeing reported 18 gross wide body orders in Feb. and 43 737 MAX (narrow body) cancellations. Airbus reported 287 total gross orders and 13 cancellations as of 3/15
- 3. Assumes 2020 YTD backlog = '19 backlog '20 cancellations YTD (56 cancellations YTD from Boeing and Airbus)
- 4. 2020 backlog years figures assume 2020 deliveries remain at 2019
- 5. Calculates backlog years assuming no dip in 2019 and 2020 deliveries (deliveries remain at 2018 levels)
- 6. Actual backlog is 14.6 years (backlog shown in chart assumes no dip to deliveries in 2019)

Medium-term expectations (through 2020)

19-20YTD commercial aircraft orders, backlog, backlog years & deliveries







Early thoughts on evolution post-COVID

Intrinsic demand for aircraft likely disappears in 2020

Airline balance sheet concerns will lead to restructuring of order books: cash conservation efforts at airlines constrain capital set aside for delivery payments

Low fuel price expectations for the short-term could extend life of older assets, but not into major heavy maintenance check cycles

Government intervention may mitigate near-term risk of employee furloughs and supply chain insolvencies

Source: Cirium

Air & Travel

9/11¹, YoY change Sept 2000 vs. 2001

2008 Fin. Crisis², YoY change Feb 2008 vs. 2009

Now, YoY change Mar 2019 vs. 2020


Current Impact

COVID-19 is an unprecedented crisis

The initial demand shock is worse than 9/11 or the 2008 Financial Crisis

US airline capacity (ASM)

7x bigger drop vs. Fin. Crisis



US hotel occupancy

8x bigger drop in occupancy vs. Fin. Crisis



Medium-term expectations (through 2020)

70-80% Capacity reductions in April


Flights to and from Europe, Middle East, and Africa were among the hardest hit; Intra-regional flights within the Americas are least impacted to date, but likely to decline further

The two most likely scenarios for airline travel demand estimate a 31%-45% reduction, and return to pre-crisis status quo over 1-2 year periods:

A4 (virus contained, strong growth rebound)

A1 (virus resurgence, slow long-term growth)

Airline demand recovery dimensions for scenarios A1 and A4



Demand may not recover where it used to be vs. prior crises – as consumer confidence may be shaken and employers adjust work-from-home policies to support greater reliance on remote technologies

Government intervention though a stimulus package of either grants, loans or tax relief can supplement company cash flow to ensure there is not a liquidity crisis

Given low oil price expectations for the shortterm, operating costs may be reduced but could also impact aircraft leading market

Early thoughts on evolution post-COVID

^{1.} For capacity, load factor, and occupancy, YoY change of Sept 2001 | 2. For capacity, YoY change of Feb 2009, for airline load factor and hotel occupancy rate, YoY change of March 2009, for hotel stocks | 3. Based on latest capacity adjustment announced by AA/DL/UA | 4. Based on forecast from United Airlines

Oil & Gas

Current Impact


LNG

COVID-19 has affected regions that account for over 80% of global LNG demand; Chinese LNG imports (17% of global imports) fell by 7% year on year from January to March 2020, triggering Force Majeure clauses on contracts

Oil

Demand decline due to COVID-19 (5.4-11.4mbd for 2020 under A3 & A1 scenarios) and OPEC+ deal failure pushed oil prices under \$30/ bbl. Short term demand destruction (potential to be 20mbd for April) could lead to storage constraints and regional prices to fall even sharper, while US drilling activity has already been cut (44 fewer rigs running, -6% in the last week).

Chinese LNG imports Jan 1st to Mar. 15th




Medium-term expectations (through 2020)

Based on our global COVID-19 scenarios, LNG demand could be reduced

Global oil demand substantially reduced due to restrictions in road transport (e.g. in China, multiple European countries, and USA) and capacity declines in airlines across the world through Q2 and Q3 2020

Low short-term oil prices are expected to continue for most of 2020 unless we see a large supply cut. Production shut-ins could start to materialize in the short term and help to balance the market

Oil demand, Mbd



Early thoughts on evolution post-COVID

LNG suppliers will likely face prolonged shutdowns and cargo cancelations as the market tries to balance

Short term price dynamics that do not involve an OPEC+ intervention increase the likelihood of having an under-investment scenario play out in the medium-term, resulting in a new price up-cycle

01

COVID-19: The situation now

02

Scenarios and path forward

03

Sector-specific impact

04

Planning and managing COVID-19 responses

Leaders need to think and act across 5 horizons



Resolve

Address the immediate challenges that COVID-19 represents to the institution's workforce, customers, technology, and business partners



Resilience

Address near-term cash management challenges, and broader resiliency issues during virus-related shutdowns and economic knock-on effects



Return

Create a detailed plan to return the business back to scale quickly, as the virus evolves and knock on effects become clearer



Reimagination

Re-imagine the "next normal"—what a discontinuous shift looks like, and implications for how the institution should reinvent



Reform

Be clear about how the regulatory and competitive environment in your industry may shift



Nerve center

Managing across the 5Rs requires a new architecture based on a team-of-teams approach.



Resolve

Address the immediate social and mental challenges that COVID-19 represents to the institution's workforce, customers, and business partners, and take basic steps to protect liquidity.

Resolve: Making hard decisions on immediate challenges

Resolve employee, customer, supply chain, immediate liquidity, and technology concerns

Private sector focus

Employees

Emerging concerns



Are my policies working (e.g., safety, productivity)? How well? How do I adapt to new developments (e.g., longer closures of business)?

Supply chain

How do I revise demand planning based on the evolving outbreak?

Customers

How do I stay in touch with customers and remain relevant to them when they don't desire or need my services? How do I inspire loyalty in my customers?

Example actions



Continuous re-evaluation of financial models: stress-testing financial forecasts based on latest developments (e.g., longer than 2 week closures) and adjusting policies accordingly

Monitoring productivity: Comprehensive set of KPIs being tracked via dashboards (e.g., focus on productivity vs. utilization)

Tracking incidence: Clear reporting mechanism for suspected / confirmed covid-19 infections and database that tracks cases

Redeploying "idle" talent against areas of the business experiencing demand surges:

Making short term adjustments to workforce deployment to maximize productivity and minimize service disruption

Partnering with other companies to redeploy "idle" talent externally for the good of the broader community

Conduct scenario planning to understand how inventory buffer changes in various disease scenarios

Task S&OP team to build 3-6 plans under a range of demand scenarios month to determine required supply

Work with tier 1 suppliers to understand supply chain risks throughout all tiers; complement with outside-in analytics where tier 1s do not have transparency

Account for all inventory (e.g., in transit, in warehouses, in spares stock) and calculate inventory buffer

Run supply chain "stress tests" vs. supplier balance sheets to understand when supply issues will start to stress financial or liquidity issues

Demonstrate flexibility to customers during times of hardship

 Airlines: Major airlines are offering change/cancel flexibility. Most are also allowing passengers to reseat themselves on the plane in accordance with physical distancing,

Going out of their way to **keep customers and employees safe** regardless of impact to balance sheet

- Hotels in Europe and Asia are providing "quarantine" service (e.g., room reservation with nobody next door)
- Hotels are live streaming hotel room housekeeping to show how thorough they are cleaning their rooms between guests.

Demonstrate commitment to healthcare

- Car rentals are offering free rental cars to NYC healthcare workers
- Furniture distribution centers are being repurposed as testing centers for NHS workers

Other examples of companies being 'agile' in attracting customers

- Hotels are offering point compensation for guests who purchased pre-paid non-refundable reservations.
- Rideshare companies are pivoting to delivery

Employees: Companies should invest and prioritize to protect the safety and morale of employees unable to work from home

Private sector focus

Non-WFH employees face a unique set of concerns...



However, best-in-class companies are finding new ways to address employee concerns while protecting them from unnecessary risk:

Perceived unfairness: having to continue going into work while other employees stay home with their families

Safety risk: significant increase in potential exposure to disease (e.g., commute, customers and other employees in the workplace)

Perceived value: Don't feel as valued by company and that their safety is not prioritized

Fear of illness: In addition to clinical harm (e.g., fever, body aches), fear of being isolated from their families if ill

Major US retailer

Flexible work policies including relaxing absenteeism policy (i.e. allowing workers to stay home for personal reasons) Food delivery companies

Minimizing contact between deliverers and customers (e.g., cashless payment only, leaving bags at door, all employees provided masks and gloves) Leading UK retailer

Extending benefits to include back-up child and elderly care (up to 25 days) and mental health benefits (e.g., teletherapy sessions) Leading Italian banks

contact and increase sanitization hours

Global coffee shop retailer

Offering 14 days of "catastrophe pay" for US workers exposed to COVID-19, over 60, pregnant, or have underlying health issues (in addition to existing sick pay)

Employees: We have observed 4 key levers to maximize engagement & productivity of work from home colleagues

Private sector focus

A study China demonstrated a decrease in energy level during the pandemic




Respondents to the survey attributed the declining energy value to 3 primary factors



Energy levels started to improve as increasing normalcy was established aided by 4 levers that companies used

Energy Value

"What is your energy level from 1-10?" asked to 1,300 employees across 50 companies in China spanning 8 sectors





Blurred boundary between work and life



Anxiety deepening as the epidemic unfolded



Telecommuting unsuitable for current work flows



People

- Provide psychological safety (e.g., delegate decision making powers, role model empathy)
- Communicate practical WFH tips (e.g., family communication, physical and mental need mgmt.)



- Define clear objectives and key results (OKRs) to effectively set and communicate goals and outcomes
- Allow high degree of autonomy in decision making with collaboration across BUs



- Establish a clear cadence (e.g., pre-scheduled daily and weekly meetings, frequent check-ins)
- Define clear and integrated workflows, align strategic goals and clarify roles and responsibilities



Process

- Leverage a suite of digital tools / new media to address specific work needs
- Technology Setup an effective ergonomic, digitally enabled remote working environment to ensure productivity

Source: McKinsey GC Org Team

Customers: Set up agile Rapid Revenue Response squads to drive progress during the pandemic for B2B & B2C companies

Private sector focus

Phase 1: Reset and calibrate





Phase 2: Activate key levers





Phase 3: Read and respond



- Understand which trends and pockets are growing by analyzing customer insights, sentiment, and demand signals
- Diligence all your current commercial activities from sales to communications to expenses
- Align on value **proposition** and what truly aligns to the immediate needs of your customers or prospects

Prioritize **B2B** commercial levers to pursue:

- Sales and channel: Build remote selling capabilities, reallocate resources
- Pricing: reset pricing / discounts to new demand curve: consider contract flexibility where relevant
- Marketing: Reinvest marketing spend across opportunities that will drive highest ROI growth
- **Product / CX**: Adjust offerings to meet customers' needs: match with demand signals
- Commercial cost: Stop spending quickly in discretionary areas, reallocating rapidly

Prioritize **B2C** commercial levers to pursue:

- Sales and channel: Remote customer lead gen and activation
- Pricing/Promo: Reset to new demand curve
- Marketing: Shift to hightraffic channels; adjust customer comms, tone, and offers
- **Product:** Focus SKUs: match with demand signals
- Cash: Manage discretionary spend, both working and non-working, re-allocating rapidly

- Evaluate performance of tactics activated. likely re-setting ROI measurement approach
- Continually optimize tactics that work
- Align on next wave of **commercial tactics** by integrating new customer insights and market demand signals

Repeat and optimize: "Activate key levers" and "Read and respond"

Supply chain: Actions to consider in response to COVID-19

Private sector focus

Immediate	(1-4 weeks)	Mid-term (4-12 weeks)			
Understand exposure	Estimate how demand changes across customers Leverage direct communication channels with direct customer when determining demand signals Use market insights/external databases to estimate demand for customer's customers Task S&OP team to build 3-6 plans under a range of demand scenarios month to determine required supply Determine how supply will be impacted and understand key risks Work with tier 1 suppliers to understand supply chain risks throughout all tiers; complement with outside-in analytics where tier 1s do not have transparency Account for all inventory (e.g., in transit, in warehouses, in spares stock) and calculate inventory buffer Conduct scenario planning to understand how inventory buffer changes in various disease scenarios Run supply chain "stress tests" vs. supplier balance sheets to understand when supply issues will start to stress financial or liquidity issues Assess whether border closures or restrictions will disrupt supply chain	Continuously improve material supply stability	Identify alternative options based on anticipated demand □ Evaluate alternative sourcing options for all the materials impacted — availability of suppliers, additional cost due to logistics, tariffs, estimate of price increase of the components □ Enhance the demand verification process to correct inflated demand to mitigate the bullwhip effect Provide support for smaller suppliers □ Provide continuous support for mid-small		
Take action to address anticipated shortages	 Evaluate any option for new supply sources □ Identify alternative sources if supplies are affected and accelerate exploration of additional options □ Determine possible geographies and supplier shortlists in case alternate supply is required □ Identify ways to expedite qualification process and/or insource for components where supply is threatened □ Contact authorities in areas where customs clearance could become a challenge □ Determine what portion of supply can be swung to another site if shutdown persists based on sourcing strategy (single, dual, multi) Revise production plans as required based on: 	Kick off designing resilient supply chain for the future	size tier 2-3 suppliers in financial troubles Assess regional risks for current and backup suppliers Codify & digitize processes and tools Codify the processes and tools created during the crisis management as formal documentation Digitalize process and tools to integrate		
	 Expected supply shortages Products in most consumer need, with highest margin, or and highest opportunity cost / penalty production Understand robustness of current supply chain logistics Estimate available logistics capacity; pre-book air freight¹ / rail capacity as required by current exposure Collaborate with all parties to jointly leverage freight capacity, new/alternate supply sources, etc. Other actions Watch for extending lead times to gauge performance and capacity against supplier promises Use after sales stock as bridge to keep production running if needed 		demand, supply, and capacity planning Develop systems to "bullet proof" supply chain Convert war room into a reliable supply chain risk management process Ensure stakeholders address vulnerabilities across all parts of the supply chain Trigger the new supply network design for resilience		
Protect employees and suppliers	 Work with supplier to source personal protective equipment for production lines operating in affected markets (e.g., glasses, gloves and masks) Engage with crisis communication teams to clearly communicate to employees on infection risk concerns (e.g., disseminate facts about virus from credible source) and work from home options Consider short-term stabilization for suppliers (e.g., low-interest loan) to allow for a faster restart 	Build collaborative relationship w/ ext. partners			



Resilience

Address near-term cash management challenges, and broader resiliency issues

6 steps toward end to end resilience plan

01

Identify and prioritize key risks

Identify and prioritize key macro, sector and company idiosyncratic risks based on exposure and impact

02

Develop tailored scenarios

Develop company specific scenarios based on the range of outcomes of the highest priority risks 03

Conduct stress testing of financials

Stress test the P&L, Balance Sheet, Statement of Cash Flows to assess and frame the potential gaps for planning

04

Establish portfolio of interventions

Identify an end to end portfolio of interventions and trigger points

05

Set up a cash management dashboard

Improve cash transparency and implement tighter cash controls to mitigate downside scenarios

06

Build the resilience dashboard

Build the dashboard of key leading indicators to monitor that can be dynamically updated

1&2: Efforts require continuous re-evaluation of financial and market forecasts and corresponding actions

1. Identify key risks

Key activities

- Understand the impact of key macroeconomic variables (e.g., GDP, unemployment rate) on performance of your of PnL (e.g., revenue and cost)
- Impacted PnL variables could include:
 - Volume: consumer demand correlated with GDP
 - Cost: Commodity price evolution (e.g., oil and gas, food index) correlates with COGS
 - Price: housing prices and inflation correlate with price customers are willing to pay
- Refine a final list of no more than ~20 macroeconomic variables with quantified impact to key PnL items

Sample output





2. Develop tailored scenarios

- Develop scenario narratives for Baseline and ~2-3 adverse scenarios, with overlay for duration and magnitude of Covid-19 near term shock
- Contextualize scenarios with assumptions on macroeconomic variables (e.g., in worst-case GDP declines 20%)
- For each scenario, link macroeconomic projections back to PnL (e.g., best-case scenario includes 10% drop in demand, 20% drop in price, and 30% drop in COGS)
- Ensure scenarios capture strategic, financial and operational risks with consideration of 2nd order impacts

					Increasing seve
			Adverse 1:	Adverse 2:	Adverse 3:
		Baseline	[]	Adverse 1 + []	Adverse 2 + []
Growth	Global GDP	Growth rises to 2.5% in 2017	Growth slows to just over 2.2%	Growth slows to just over 2.2%	†
	Country specific	[]	[]	[]	
Commodity Prices	Oil	Prices rise by ~70% by 2021	Prices rise by -60% by 2021	Prices rise by ~60% by 2021, but are more volatile	
	[]	Prices rise by 10%	Prices rise by just under 9%	Prices more volatile due to contagion	
Employment	US	Wages flat	Wages fall in real terms	Wages fall in real terms	Same as Adverse 2
Costs	[]	Wages flat	Wages flat	Wages fall in real terms	_ Game as Adverse 2
Exchange rates	Major currencies	Euro and Pound weakening relative to Dollar	Near term Euro and Pound appreciation relative to Dollar	Near term Euro and Pound appreciation relative to Dollar followed by substantial weakening	
	Emerging market currencies	Stable	Stable	Stable	
[]		No	No	No	[]


3&4: Efforts require continuous re-evaluation of financial and market forecasts and corresponding actions

3. Conduct stress testing of financials

Key activities

- For each scenario,
 - assess impact on the financial statements (P&L, Balance Sheet and Cash Flows)
 - assess gap relative to Baseline
- Run simulations at Corporate level to assess range of outcomes to assess impact on credit quality, cash and liquidity
- Run 'reverse stress-tests' to determine conditions for credit/liquidity crunch


Sample output






4. Establish portfolio of interventions

- Prioritize critical areas of exposure and areas of lower/risk uncertainty
- Define & size portfolio of potential interventions (across operations, supply chain, capital, targeted M&A and divestitures and customer engagement)
- Launch quick wins on immediate stabilization (supply and demand-side) related to Covid-19
- Identify which are "no regrets" vs. trigger based and get preapproval for higher risk moves, with clear agreement on conditions for activation



5: Example cash management dashboard: Prioritization of initiatives related to cash

Not Exhaustive



Source: McKinsey Transformation McKinsey & Company

6: Example resilience scorecard: Outside-in perspective & select benchmarks

"Inside assessment" would reveal "strengths & weaknesses" in Co 1's resilience

DISGUISED EXAMPLE

	Metric performance				Rank			
	Marker of resilience	Metric (outside-in metrics)	Co 1	Co 2	Co 3	Co 4	Co 5	Co 1
Through cycle interventions:	Track record of growth	Short-term Sales growth, 2018-2020 CAGR %		5%	10%	-5%	5%	
Revenue		Long-term Sales growth, 2013-2020 CAGR %	-5%	5%	10%	5%	15%	
Through cycle interventions:	Starting point of cost structure & track record of margin improvement	Gross Profit/Sales %, 2020	25%	10%	30%	15%	20%	
Costs		SG&A/Sales %, 2020	6%	7%	9%	8%	5%	
		R&D/Sales, 2018-2020 avg	10%	8%	4%	6%	2%	
		Long-term Adj EBITA margin delta, 2020 vs 2013 %pts	2%	-5%	10%	-5%	2%	
	Long-term TRS track record	Long-term TRS, 2013-2020 avg (also revenue contribution indicator)	10%	-5%	10%	5%	25%	
Sharp Digital	[] N/A outside-in measurement							
Unlock Balance	Healthy Balance Sheet with sufficient headroom	(Net debt and pension + OPEB) /market cap, 2020	0.5	0.2	(0.2)	(0.5)	0.2	
Sheet		(Net debt and pension + OPEB) /EBITDA, 2020	1.5	0.5	(1.0)	(2.0)	0.5	
Band of Leaders	C-suite and Board having	% of C-suite leaders who have been in C-suite roles during last recession	50%	40%	20%	50%	45%	
	diversity of background and relevant experience of leading businesses through a downturn	% of Board members who have been CEOs of F-1000 companies during major crisis events/ downturns	30%	20%	0%	0%	10%	
		% of C-suite leaders who have a different background from the CEO	100%	70%	85%	75%	30%	
Organization Simplification	Lower Org complexity	FTE per Sales (# Employees per \$M USD), 2020 (outside-in indicator)	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.8	
Resilience Nerve Center	Early, disciplined decisions in the past – indicator of a nerve center	Short-term change in Adj EBITA, 2020 vs. 2018 %pts	0%	-5%	5%	-5%	5%	
	driven approach	Change in (Net debt and pension + OPEB) /EBITDA, 2020 vs. 2018 %	0%	50%	-10%	90%	-50%	

Source: McKinsey Resiliency Tribe

McKinsey & Company

3

Return

Create a detailed plan to return the business back to scale quickly

There are 6 building blocks for a successful Return



Restarting supply chain

Secure alternative supply sources (if needed) to provide materials to industry



Separation of regions

Categorize regions based on severity to manage return based on region-specific situations



Testing & transparency

Build transparency on the state of infection in local populations so the "healthy" cohort can return to work



Infection reduction norms

Ensure conformance to transmission reduction norms in professional and public life



Health system capacity

Ensure healthcare capacity, preventing "drift" while ramping up surge capacity for additional intervention windows as needed



Rehiring and retraining

Prepare workforce to meet the new demands of the "next normal"

These building blocks should be rolled out and sequenced according to local realities

These building blocks can be sequenced for a return plan

SAMPLE PLAN FRAMEWORK - MEANT FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY

Phases

Partial continuation



Phased reopening



Fiscal recovery



Recovery and preparedness

Description

Containment phase prior to thinking about any return to the "next normal", with the primary goal of returning employees to the office

Phase indicators

Disease proliferation: Cases plateauing, of cases occasionally unknown, etc

Confinement of employees & customers in place: Shelter-at-home regulation in place, majority of employees WFH

Sample actions that business can take

Maintain **physical distancing** of workforce (e.g., remote working facilities enabled)

Clear protection guidelines with protective equipment provided for employees that are required to be present at workplace

Clear process for **tracking incidence** in workforce and notifying at-risk employees

Once diseases have been contained, strategically return safe portions of employees while avoiding relapse into Phase 1

Regulatory approval: Employees allowed to return to work

Consumer demand: Steadily increasing

Disease containment: Ability to verify healthy workers,, surrounding community healthy, disease on the decline, hospitals not overstretched

Reassurance measures at workplace (e.g. temperature checks prior to entering workplace)

Safety and protection policies (e.g., mandatory masks/gloves to be worn by all employees, regular deep-cleaning of work environment, physical distancing in the workplace)

Split the business for **staggered return to work** (e.g. different teams returning at different times)

Enable the lifting of all physical distancing measures once disease is no longer a large threat to the workforce

Consumer Demand: Risen to precrisis levels

Customer behaviors: Shifting back to "next normal expectation"

Supply chain: Limited disruption

Employees: Feel safe and protected

returning to workplace

Targeted outreach to customers to improve comfort and encourage precrisis behavior

Require / incentivize employee vaccination for COVID once vaccine is obtained

Clear safeguard protocols for any employees that display illness (mandatory work from home)

Continued regular **deep-cleaning** of office space

Period of investing in infrastructure to rebuild organizational readiness and resilience for future pandemics

No more firefighting of COVID-19 disease implications

Corporate **desire to mitigate risk** and prepare better for future pandemics

Develop more **robust WFH policies** and infrastructure for larger part of workforce

Reduce # of large gatherings to only when necessary

Reduce travel requirements for roles




Reimagination and reform

Re-imagine the "next normal"—what a discontinuous shift looks like, and implications for how the institution should reinvent.

Be clear about how the regulatory and competitive environment in your industry may shift.

The "next normal" will be re-imagined across multiple pillars







Supply chain



Government/ regulation



Organizational



Corporate valuation

"What will change for consumers and shoppers?"

"Will supply chains models shift with the increasing focus on resiliency and digitization?"

"How could health and the overall economic regulations be impacted?" "How will workforce norms & operating models adapt?"


"How will valuations shift given corporates need to invest in resilience capability?"




Nerve center

Managing across the 5Rs requires a new architecture based on a team-of-teams approach.

Many leaders are experiencing a big increase in COVID-19 issues...



...but there is a tsunami of evermore-complex issues that lie ahead



When facing such a tsunami, companies make four mistakes



Optimism bias, lack of adequate 'sensing mechanisms' (e.g., escalation failures), over-reliance on past patterns, risk rationalization

Industrial manufacturer: pushed out fix timelines for failed product more than 12 times. Top management optimism bias was called out multiple times by regulators, politicians and other observers



Constrained Solution Design

Many crises have a technical core, which needs new solutions to be invented (e.g., BP top hat) or imported anew into the sector/ geography

Energy company: Many public failures to fix process safety issue before success. Challenge was that the fix needed new engineering innovation



Slow or Bad Decision Quality

Groupthink, political pressures, high-emotion situations; Unfamiliarity – pattern recognition-driven thinking fails; Desire to wait for more facts slows response

Challenger disaster: NASA engineers pressured Thiokol to change their 'no-launch' recommendation (Thiokol shifted their stance to satisfy their biggest customer) in-spite of a well-understood technical failure on O-rings.



Inadequate Delivery (Execution failure)

Chaos during disruptions frequently translates to lack of accountability and direction, 'operations addiction' on the part of top management, leading to failures of execution

Automotive manufacturer: Was criticized for multiple aspects of recall activity (e.g., unclear terms and conditions, inadequate call center staffing, other challenges)

The central question

How can I increase my organization's capacity and speed to respond decisively to today's issues...

...while uncovering the truth about the future, and shoring up defenses to meet it?

Nerve centers are a specific organizational construct, meant for institutions that are facing existential, high-velocity disruptions, that are designed to address this question

How Nerve Centers achieve this – "team of teams" made of 4 teams

Deliver, Decide, Discover, Design

Deliver quickly & flawlessly on priorities provided by "Decide" team

Team 1 – Deliver

Execution team(s)

Team 3 – Discover
Scenario Planning
team

Evaluate possible scenarios – near-term to long-term & derive implications; craft one planning scenario for other teams

Present focus

Plan Ahead

Ensure "Deliver"
goals are current &
progress is occurring;
decide whether to
trigger a strategic
move


Team 2 – Decide

Integrated Operations team


Team 4 – Design
Strategic Moves
team

Craft a portfolio of strategic actions with clear trigger points

Nerve Center needs to evolve from present focus to include plan ahead teams



A plan ahead team can offer quick responses to rapidly changing circumstances using 5 frames



Please refer to this <u>link</u> to read the full article

Nerve Center design is based on military command principles

Core concept: Create an organization that can Observe, Orient, Decide and Act faster than the environment




John Boyd's OODA loop

John Boyd was a Colonel in the US Air Force, whose ideas on the art of war revolutionized US military thinking, especially after the Vietnam War

Boyd's key concept: The OODA loop.

The key to victory is to be able to make appropriate decisions faster than the rate at which the environment evolves



Appendix Reimagination & Reform details



Consumer: The next normal

Degree of shift in Consumer behavior, Regulation, Organizations, and Supply Chain all drive a "next normal"

Illustrative "next normal" of Consumer behavior



Shifts in loyalty – altered baskets due to availability, health attributes, brand (re)-trial



A fresh reset of the price/value relationship — economic downturn shifts demand to lower price points and private label



Home recast as the coffee shop, spa, restaurant, and more with ease and convenience – consumers find convenient and less expensive ways of "getting the job done"



Blending of demographic "norms" – millennials increasingly "settling down" and cooking, men doing more out of home shopping leads to brand, category and shopper behavior shifts



The return of center store and large brands – leveraging familiarity, availability backed by at scale supply chains



The e-Boomer (really e-everyone) – Online as a destination for stock-up and grocery/c-stores for the fill-in / fresh, leading to a seismic channel shift



High times for the lower end – Dollar, discount and supercenters further benefit from price and stable supply



Re-luring to retail –
Outside grocery, declines in brick and mortar require new tactics to re-engage when restrictions are lifted



De-urbanization – reverse in the trend of recent years following the shelter at home experience



Sustainability remerging, redefined – simultaneously meeting environmental and public health goals



Degree of shift in Consumer behavior, Regulation, Organizations, and Supply Chain all drive a "next normal"

Illustrative "next normal" of supply chain

Levers for Organizations		Degree of change Minimal Substantial				
Supply Chain	Resilience	Unchanged focus on 'efficient' supply chain, with lowest cost today as primary goal	Primarily optimized for lowest cost with critical components sourced to ensure resiliency across scenarios	Fully quantified the risk of supply chain design to earnings, and optimized trade off between earnings today and earnings resilience		
	Digitization	Status quo with limited digitization and lack of visibility across supply chain	Some digitization with transparency available at key points but no end to end visibility	End to end digitized supply chain with full visibility across inventories and products		

Source: McKinsey Supply Chain Practice

McKinsey & Company



Degree of shift in Consumer behavior, Regulation, Organizations, and Supply Chain all drive a "next normal"

Illustrative "next normal" of government regulation

Levers for Regulation		Degree of change Minimal			Substantial		
Protecting health	Health and safety regulations	Workplace safety inspected for hazardous mate harm, and unsanitary conditions, with progression		•	regulation significantly increased with mandatory remperature checks, etc		
	Employee benefits	Companies manage sick leave policies as desired (e.g., doctor's note required, 8 days per year)	Health insurance expanded (e.g., guidelines streamlined for vaccination)		Government mandates increased flexibility of sick leave (e.g., 14 days minimum, use for dependent care, long-term illness)		
	Travel restrictions	No additional travel restriction, but Increased sanitization of long distance transport	All public transit sanitized regularly with random temperature checks		Passenger health requirements enforced (e.g., temperature checks, health declaration forms, point-of-arrival quarantines)		
Sustaining economy	Trade policy	Trade policy focused on maximizing economic growth Focus on economic seconomic seconomic production of			ecurity as a driver of policy (e.g., increasing of pharma and PPE)		
	Labor regulations				to avoid mass layoffs in crisis situations (e.g., oughs, contract reworking), with protected omy' workers		
	Reskilling	No focused new 'reskilling' policies working, reskilling wo			ng for the 'next normal' (e.g., more remote orkers for tradesman related work, reskilling external redeployment)		

Source: McKinsey Global Institute



Organizations: The next normal

Degree of shift in Consumer behavior, Regulation, Organizations, and Supply Chain all drive a "next normal"

Illustrative "next normal" of how Organizations configure

Levers for Organizations		Degree of change Minimal change	Drastic change	
	Where work happens	White-collar employees remain "in the office"	Remote working enabled but most professions still "in person"	Remote working is fully accepted (e.g., ~25% of white collar labor fully remote incl. radiologists, financial analysts, consultants)
Organization configuration	How people organize	Traditional pyramidical structure to cover all functions needed to execute projects	Certain BU's organized into networks of project-based work	Leaner, more 'agile' structure leveraging the gig economy for project-based execution
	How decisions are made	(e.g., command and control, red-tabe) More empowered teams		Strategy remains centrally set and coordinated; all operational decisions de-centralized with a bias for speed & test-and-learn mentality
	Workforce size and composition	WF predominantly consists of full-time employees	Management remains full-time; non- management shifts to "gig" workers	Gig economy utilized for all workers (full-time employees make up <20% of labor force)

McKinsey & Company

